

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,544	05/23/2007	Youhei Sakai	81872.0129	1542
26021 Hogan Lovells	7590 08/09/201 USTIP	EXAMINER		
1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELES. CA 90067			LIAO, DIANA J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,			1793	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/09/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LAUSPTO@hhlaw.com clifford.keyner@hoganlovells.com laura.rivero@hoganlovells.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/599,544	SAKAI, YOUHEI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DIANA J. LIAO	1793	

District.	1750				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the Period for Reply	e cover sheet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE Extensions of time may be available; under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no extensions of 18 CFR 1.136(a) in no extension of 18 CFR 1.136(a) in no ext	HIS COMMUNICATION. ent, however, may a repty be timely filed will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. whication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is r	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qu					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or election red	quirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of. 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cert	ified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S3/55)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/599,544 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-14, drawn to a silicon casting apparatus.

Group II, claim(s) 15-17, drawn to a method for producing a silicon ingot.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The requirements for a special technical feature are outlined in Annex B of Appendix A1 of the MPEP (Administrative Instructions under the PCT, "Unity of Invention"), Unity exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding claimed technical features. The express "special technical features" is defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. "(Rule 13.2).

The question of unity of invention has been reconsidered retroactively by the examiner in view of the search performed; a review of US 6027563 makes clear that the claimed species is not novel over the prior art. Furthermore, these references appear to demonstrate that the claimed apparatus does not define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. Accordingly, the prior art of the record supports restriction of the claimed subject matter in to the groups as mentioned immediately above.

US '563 teaches an apparatus comprising a crucible and chamber (9 and 9a) for the creation of solid silicon with a heating device (7) positioned above the crucible and a cooling member (11) below the heating member which can be moved relative to the crucible. (abstract) The common technical feature between the two groups of inventions is the apparatus used. Since US '563 sufficiently teaches the apparatus, there is a lack of unity.

Examining both inventions on the merits would create a search burden as the process of making the ingot does not require the limitations of the further apparatus

Application/Control Number: 10/599,544

Art Unit: 1793

limitations and the apparatus does not necessarily have to work upon silicon and can be any casting apparatus.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1793

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIANA J. LIAO whose telephone number is (571)270-3592. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:30am to 6:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DJL

/Timothy C Vanoy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793